A Picture of Over-Indebtedness





the **Money** Advice Service



Contents

Section 1	Foreword
Section 2	Methodology
Section 3	Findings
Section 4	Case Studies
Section 5	Implications
Section 6	Appendix





Foreword

Understanding your client is crucial to providing good debt advice – to advise on debt prioritisation you need to understand what is owed to whom, to maximise income you have to understand family composition, and to support decisions around different debt solutions you need to understand housing tenure.

To commission debt advice services effectively, you need to know, at a population level, what the characteristics of over-indebted people are and where they can be found.

With our colleagues at CACI, we have designed and refined a model for estimating the probability that an individual is over-indebted. By applying this model across the entire population, we have produced a detailed picture of how over-indebtedness varies across the UK.

This work is unprecedented and breaks new ground in our understanding of what risk factors predict over-indebtedness.

The over-indebted population is younger, more likely to rent and more likely to have children than the UK as a whole. It feels the impact of macroeconomic changes more keenly and is more exposed to changes in the welfare system.

We will use and share the insights from this research to continue our work with partners to put in place services for over-indebted people that engage people earlier, work with them to resolve crises and support them to stay out of debt in the long term.

One in six people in the UK are over-indebted, but less than one in five of them seek advice. Because we know that debt advice is effective, the challenge of engagement is one we all share. This research helps us to locate the people we are here to help with greater clarity than ever before and I am confident it will enable us to focus our energy and resources to meet this challenge head on.



Caroline Siarkiewicz Head of Debt Advice





Methodology

The Money Advice Service (MAS) commissioned CACI to build a model that could accurately estimate the levels of over-indebtedness across the United Kingdom.

To do this, CACI took a sample of adults known to be over-indebted, and identified characteristics where they differ from adults who are not over-indebted. They used these characteristics to build a model that can predict how likely someone is to be over-indebted. Finally, the model was applied across the country to produce national and regional estimates.

The sample of over-indebted people was taken from a number of research surveys, and the data used in the model came from CACI's Ocean database. Ocean contains demographic, behavioural and lifestyle information for 50 million adults in the United Kingdom.

The process can be summarised in five stages:







1 Identifying over-indebted people

CACI combined data from three research surveys to identify a set of respondents on which to carry out this analysis (2,500 over-indebted people out of a total sample size of 16,000).

Two questions were used across all three surveys to identify over-indebtedness. Respondents that answered yes to either, or both, of these questions were classified as "over-indebted".



2 Adding demographic and lifestyle data

Using name, address and date of birth, CACI matched survey respondents to an extensive database, which contains a wide range of information. More than 500 data variables were matched to each respondent, ranging from demographics (e.g. age, gender, presence of children) to financial attributes (e.g. income, product holding).

3 Statistical modelling

CACI used a statistical technique called logistic regression, which uses a collection of known information (such as age and type of housing) to predict the probability of an unknown event (in this case over-indebtedness). Using this technique, CACI looked for patterns and characteristics amongst the combinations of variables that best described over-indebtedness.

A number of different models were then tested and refined. The final model contains sixteen different variables, which in combination can predict a person's probability of being over-indebted.

Some of these variables are explored in detail in section 3. It's important to emphasise that the model variables are those that best predict over-indebtedness, and are not necessarily an exhaustive list of the underlying causes of over-indebtedness.

4 Applying the model across the UK

CACI used the model to estimate over-indebtedness probabilities for all adults in the United Kingdom, based on the information held on the Ocean database (which includes all the model variables).

5 Generating regional and national estimates

The expected numbers of over-indebted adults within each postcode were calculated by allocating the 50 million adults into their separate postcodes, before adding the individual probabilities within each.

CACI then summed the postcode figures in order to estimate the number of over-indebted adults in the UK as a whole, as well as within each local authority, region and country.

A full and detailed description of the modelling procedure and technical output can be found in the technical report, on the MAS website.





Findings







10	10 most over-indebted local authorities UK average				
1	Sandwell	West Midlands	24.7%		
2	Blaenau Gwent	Wales	24.3%		
3	Merthyr Tydfil	Wales	24.1%		
4	Newham	London	23.8%		
5	Derry and Strabane	Northern Ireland	23.8%		
6	Barking and Dagenham	London	23.0%		
7	Belfast	Northern Ireland	22.9%		
8	Tower Hamlets	London	22.9%		
9	Kingston upon Hull	Yorkshire and The Humber	21.9%		
10	Rhondda Cynon Taf	Wales	21.9%		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Most and least over-indebted local authorities

10 least over-indebted local authorities

1	East Renfrewshire	Scotland
2	East Dorset	South West
3	East Dunbartonshire	Scotland
4	Elmbridge	South East
5	Mole Valley	South East
6	South Bucks	South East
7	Chiltern	South East
8	Epsom and Ewell	South East
9	Aberdeenshire	Scotland
10	Hart	South East



With the exception of the South Wales Valleys and parts of rural Northern Ireland, there is a strong urban skew to over-indebtedness. Eight of the ten most over-indebted local authorities are mainly urban, whilst the ten least over-indebted local authorities are mainly rural.

London and Wales both contribute three local authorities to the ten most over-indebted local authorities, while Northern Ireland contributes two.

The ten least over-indebted local authorities are dominated by Scotland and South East England, where nine of the ten can be found.

A full list of local authorities and their over-indebtedness levels is given in Appendix: Over-indebted individuals by local authority.





Five key factors

There are a number of key factors which are linked with over-indebtedness, according to the model. Five of these are described across the next few pages.

It's important to note that these factors *predict* over-indebtedness – they do not necessarily *cause* it.



16 million people in the UK – about one in three – rent their home.

Renting your home makes you much more likely to be over-indebted, with the proportion of over-indebtedness among tenants more than twice as high as among homeowners.

Within renters, social tenants (who rent from a local authority or housing association) have a higher probability of over-indebtedness than those who rent from a private landlord (29% vs. 21%).

The highest concentrations of tenants can be found in London, where half of adults rent their home. Renting is particularly common in Hackney and Tower Hamlets, where 70% are renters (mainly social). These are two of the most over-indebted boroughs in London, and analysis suggests that renting is a large contributor to the model's predictions for these areas.

There is still over-indebtedness among homeowners, particularly mortgage holders, who are almost twice as likely to be over-indebted than those who own outright. However, both groups are much less likely to be over-indebted than renters.



Having children increases the probability of being over-indebted by more than 50% (from 13% for adults without children, to 20% for those with children).

The analysis shows that there is a particularly strong relationship between over-indebtedness and having three or more children. Whilst 19% of families with one or two children are over-indebted, this rises to 26% amongst families with three or more children.













There are 3.8 million single parents in the United Kingdom, with more than half a million in the capital alone. Within single parents, 28% (one million people) are over-indebted. This is almost twice the national average, and around one and a half times more likely than two-parent families.

The likelihood of single parents being over-indebted rises when they have larger families, with a third of single parents with three or more children being over-indebted. This is one-and-a-half times the probability of a two-parent family with the same number of children being over-indebted.

4 Low incomes



As shown in our previous research (*Indebted lives: the complexities of life in debt*, 2013), there is a relationship between over-indebtedness and household income, but it is not as strong as might be expected.

Above £10,000, household income is not strongly associated with over-indebtedness, with all income bands having similar probabilities of being over-indebted (around 15%). Analysis suggests that above £10,000, other factors (e.g. number of children) are more important.

However, when household incomes fall below £10,000, over-indebtedness becomes much more likely – with 24% of people in this group being over-indebted.







The analysis shows that younger people are much more likely to be over-indebted. Amongst 25-34 year-olds, one in four are over-indebted – the highest probability of all the age bands. Age bands either side of this (18-24 and 35-44) show similarly high rates of around 20% – again higher than the national average.

When individuals reach the 55-64 age band, their probability of being over-indebted falls to 13%. This probability then continues to decrease with age, and by the oldest age band, 75+, only one adult in twenty is over-indebted.

Additionally, the older adults who are over-indebted tend to be finding their bills a 'heavy burden', rather than missing payments. This contrasts with over-indebted younger adults, who are less likely to be finding their debts a 'heavy burden', but are more likely than other age groups to be missing payments.





Richmond upon Thames

Variation within regions: London

London is the most variable region of the United Kingdom in terms of over-indebtedness. Although its overall average of 17% is only marginally above the UK average, there are large variations within it, often between neighbouring boroughs.

For example, Hounslow is the 64th most over-indebted local authority in the UK (out of 391), yet just across the river, Richmond upon Thames is the 364th most over-indebted – and the least over-indebted in London. London's most over-indebted local authority is Newham, which is the fourth most over-indebted in the UK.

To understand this variation, it is worth looking at how diverse London's population is. London's boroughs include those with the highest proportion of single parents (Southwark, 12% of adults); the highest incidence of families with three or more children (Newham, 14% of adults); and the highest proportion of social renting (Hackney, 45% of adults). These three boroughs' over-indebtedness levels are all higher than the London and UK averages.

At the same time, Havering has one of the lowest proportions of single parents (5% of adults), Kensington and Chelsea one of the lowest incidences of families with three or more children (4% of adults), and Richmond upon Thames has one of the lowest percentages with household income less than £10,000 (6% of adults). These boroughs are some of the least over-indebted in London.

However, even within the least over-indebted borough (Richmond upon Thames), 11.5% (17,000 people) are over-indebted. Whilst Richmond is an affluent area – it has the third highest average income of all local authorities in the UK – the data shows that 12% of its population live in social housing and one in twelve is a single parent. And there may be additional influences to consider, with 34% currently paying off mortgages, in an area where homes are worth three times the UK average.

This illustrates that to truly understand over-indebtedness at a regional level, it is crucial to look at the variation within regions. Every part of the United Kingdom, London especially, has pockets of high and low over-indebtedness.



Advice Service

CACI

Case Studies

At local authority level



Map contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0





Implications

We hope this summary report, the accompanying technical report and the data that underpins it will inspire thought and discussion amongst many of our partners. Whilst we will continue to digest the insights from this, at this stage we have identified the following implications:

Services designed to prevent over-indebtedness should focus on the groups that the model shows are more likely to be over-indebted. We will work with our colleagues co-ordinating the UK Financial Capability Strategy to build this into their multi-year approach.

Partnerships with social housing providers are a clear priority for engaging with over-indebted people. We will work with the services we fund across the UK to deepen their engagement with registered social landlords and other providers of socially rented accommodation.

Commissioning decisions should be based on the best available data. Therefore we will share the detailed findings of this work as widely as possible with other funders of advice and use updated model results as the basis of our own future funding decisions.

The next step in our understanding of the over-indebted population will be the development of a dynamic model that uses publicly available data (both current and forecasted) to predict over-indebtedness levels. We will work with partners to scope and initiate this work in the coming year.





Appendix

Over-indebted individuals by local authority

North East	_	Yorkshire & The F
Sunderland	20.6%	Kingston upon Hul
Middlesbrough	19.9%	Bradford
Newcastle upon Tyne	19.1%	Barnsley
South Tyneside	18.9%	Sheffield
Hartlepool	18.6%	Rotherham
Gateshead	17.8%	Wakefield
County Durham	17.0%	Leeds
Stockton-on-Tees	17.0%	Doncaster
Redcar and Cleveland	16.6%	North East Lincoln
Darlington	16.3%	Kirklees
North Tyneside	16.2%	Calderdale
Northumberland	15.2%	North Lincolnshire
		York
North West		Scarborough
Manchester	21.4%	Selby
Knowsley	20.3%	Richmondshire
Salford	19.8%	East Riding of York
Blackburn with Darwen	19.8%	Ryedale
Liverpool	19.5%	Hambleton
Rochdale	19.3%	Craven
Halton	19.0%	Harrogate
Oldham	18.9%	
Preston	18.8%	East Midlands
Hyndburn	18.7%	Nottingham
Burnley	18.4%	Leicester
Bolton	18.4%	Lincoln
Tameside	18.2%	Corby
Pendle	18.2%	Derby
St Helens	17.8%	Northampton
Wigan	17.0%	Bolsover
Blackpool	16.0%	Boston
Backpool	16.5%	Chostorfield
Russenuale	16.0%	Mansfield
Barrow in Eurocc	16.7%	Achfiold
Concland	16.7%	Wallinghorough
Copeland	16.2%	Passatlaw
Allerdele	10.2%	Dassellaw
Allerdale	15.9%	Frowach
Varington	15.0%	Charmana
	15.4%	Charnwood Navarila arad Claamu
Wirral	15.4%	Newark and Sherw
Setton	15.0%	Amper valley
	14.9%	South Holland
West Lancashire	14.6%	North East Derbysr
Cheshire West & Chester	14.5%	High Peak
South Ribble	14.5%	South Derbyshire
Iranord	14.2%	Broxtowe
Stockport	14.1%	Hinckley and Bosw
Eden	13.2%	Gedling
Cheshire East	13.2%	East Northamptons
Wyre	13.2%	West Lindsey
Ribble Valley	12.2%	North West Leicest
Fylde	12.0%	East Lindsey
South Lakeland	11.9%	South Kesteven
		Oadby and Wigston

Melton North Kestev

Daventry

The Humbe	r	Blaby	13.2%
n Hull	21.9%	Rutland	12.3%
	18.9%	South Northamptonshire	12.3%
	18.4%	Derbyshire Dales	12.2%
	18.2%	Pushcliffe	12.270
	18.0%	Harborough	12.0%
	17.0%	Harborougn	12.0%
	17.9%	West Midlands	
	17.0%	Candwall	24 7%
! !- !	17.0	Sandwell	24.7%
ncoinsnire	17.6%	Stoke-on-Irent	21.7%
	1/.6%	wolvernampton	21.1%
	16./%	Birmingham	21.0%
nshire	16.5%	Walsall	20.6%
	14./%	Coventry	19.4%
	14.3%	Telford and Wrekin	19.2%
	14.3%	Dudley	18.1%
re	14.3%	Tamworth	17.9%
f Yorkshire	13.6%	Nuneaton and Bedworth	17.7%
	12.8%	Cannock Chase	17.5%
	12.4%	Newcastle-under-Lyme	17.4%
	12.1%	Redditch	17.4%
	12.1%	Worcester	17.1%
		East Staffordshire	16.6%
ds		Wyre Forest	15.9%
	21.8%	Rugby	15.6%
	20.9%	North Warwickshire	15.2%
	19.6%	Herefordshire	14.5%
	19.4%	Stafford	14.5%
	18.2%	Solihull	14.4%
ı	18 1%	Shropshire	14.3%
•	17.9%	Lichfield	13.9%
	17.8%	Warwick	13.8%
	17.0%	Staffordshire Moorlands	13.6%
	17.1%	Wychayon	13.0%
	16.8%	South Staffordshire	13.5%
ab	16.3%	Bromsgrovo	12.5%
ign	15.0%	Malvorn Hills	12.0%
	15.9%		12.770
	15.0%	Stratiora-on-Avon	12.4%
	15.7%	East of England	
honwood	15.2%	Nonwich	10.7%
sherwoou	15.2%	Botorborough	19.7%
d	15.2%	Harlow	10.7%
u arbychiro	15.1%	Inswich	10.3%
erbysnire	14 59/	luter	10.3%
	14.5%	Luton	18.0%
shire	14.5%	Stevenage	17.7%
D 11	14.4%	Forest Heath	17.0%
Bosworth	14.4%	Great Yarmouth	16.7%
	14.4%	Basildon	16.0%
ptonshire	14.4%	Inurrock	15.9%
	14.3%	Bedford	15.8%
eicestershire	14.2%	Welwyn Hatfield	15.6%
	14.2%	Fenland	15.6%
en	14.1%	Watford	15.3%
/igston	13.9%	Colchester	15.1%
	13.9%	Breckland	14.8%
en	13.8%	Southend-on-Sea	14.8%
	13.6%	King's Lynn & W Norfolk	14.7%

13.2% 12.3% tonshire 12.3% 12.2% 12.0% 12.0% 24.7% 21.7% 21.1% 21.0% 20.6% 19.4% 19.2% in 18.1% 17.9% edworth 17.7% 17.5% r-Lyme 17.4% 17.4% 17.1% 16.6% 15.9% 15.6% 15.2% ire 14.5% 14.5% 14.4% 14.3% 13.9% 13.8% orlands 13.6% 13.5% re 13.5% 12.8% 12.7% 12.4% n 19.7% 18.7% 18.5% 18.3% 18.0% 17.7%

% of over-indebted people high low

Broxbourne	14.6%
Dacorum	1/19
Control Rodfordshire	14.1%
	14.0%
Huntingdonshire	14.0%
Braintree	14.0%
Waveney	14.0%
North Hertfordshire	13.8%
Cambridge	13.6%
St Edmundsbury	13.6%
Hortsmore	17 1%
Tandring	17 0%
Tenuning	13.0%
Cheimsford	13.0%
East Cambridgeshire	12.9%
South Norfolk	12.9%
Babergh	12.9%
Mid Suffolk	12.7%
North Norfolk	12.5%
Fast Hertfordshire	12.4%
Enning Forest	12.2%
South Cambridgeshire	12.1%
Suffelly Central	12.1/0
	12.0%
Three Rivers	12.0%
Maldon	11.8%
Broadland	11.8%
Uttlesford	11.7%
St Albans	11.7%
Castle Point	11.3%
Rochford	11.2%
Brentwood	11.2%
London	
London	27.8%
London Newham	23.8%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham	23.8% 23.0%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets	23.8% 23.0% 22.9%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.5% 18.2% 18.1%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1% 17.9%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 18.6% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1% 17.9% 17.9%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1% 17.9% 17.6%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.4% 18.1% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.3%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 17.0%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 16.7%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Eulbam	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.1% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.0% 17.0% 17.0% 17.0% 16.7%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.4% 18.1% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 17.0% 16.7% 16.7%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham Merton	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 18.4% 17.9% 17.6% 17.9% 17.6% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 16.7% 16.7% 15.8%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham Merton Harrow	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 16.7% 16.1% 15.8% 15.4%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham Merton Harrow Sutton	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.5% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 16.7% 16.1% 15.8% 15.1%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham Merton Harrow Sutton	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.4% 18.4% 18.4% 18.2% 17.9% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.3% 17.0% 16.7% 16.1% 15.8% 15.4% 15.0%
London Newham Barking and Dagenham Tower Hamlets Greenwich Hackney Lewisham Brent Hounslow Southwark Islington Waltham Forest Lambeth Haringey Croydon Enfield Hillingdon Ealing Bexley Camden Redbridge Hammersmith & Fulham Merton Harrow Sutton Havering Wandsworth	23.8% 23.0% 22.9% 21.2% 20.9% 19.9% 18.6% 18.4% 18.2% 18.1% 17.9% 17.6% 17.4% 17.3% 17.0% 17.0% 17.0% 16.7% 16.1% 15.4% 15.4% 15.0% 14.5%





Westminster	14.3%
Bromley	13.8%
Kingston upon Thames	13.8%
City of London	13.0%
Kensington and Chelsea	12.9%
Richmond upon Thames	11.5%

Countly Toost	
South East	21.29/
Southampton	21.2%
Portsmouth	19.6%
Milton Keynes	19.2%
Hastings	18.3%
Gosport	18.0%
Slough	17.7%
Thanet	17.3%
Gravesham	16.5%
Medway	16.4%
Reading	16.4%
Rushmoor	16.4%
Dover	16.0%
Crawley	16.0%
Swale	15.9%
Havant	15.8%
Dartford	15.8%
Oxford	15.7%
Eastbourne	15.5%
Shepway	15.2%
Isle of Wight	14.8%
Brighton and Hove	14.8%
Basingstoke and Deane	14.6%
Ashford	14.5%
Maidstone	14.4%
Bracknell Forest	14.0%
Worthing	13.7%
Avlesbury Vale	13.7%
Canterbury	13.7%
Eastloigh	17 5%
Chorwoll	17 7%
Tophridge and Malling	17 7%
	17 10/
Adur	17.0%
Adur Spolthowno	12.0%
	12.9%
	12.9%
Iunbridge wells	12.8%
West Berksnire	12.8%
west Oxfordshire	12.7%
Arun	12.7%
Guildford	12.5%
Vale of White Horse	12.4%
Woking	12.4%
Runnymede	12.4%
Fareham	12.1%
Reigate and Banstead	12.0%
Winchester	11.9%
Lewes	11.9%
Mid Sussex	11.9%
Chichester	11.9%
South Oxfordshire	11.8%
Rother	11.7%
Sevenoaks	11.7%
New Forest	11.4%
Surrey Heath	11.3%
Windsor and Maidenhead	11.3%
Tandridge	11.1%
Horsham	11.1%
East Hampshire	10.9%
Wealden	10.8%
Wokingham	10.8%

erley	
-	
m and Ewe	u
ern	
h Bucks	
Valley	
ridge	
	erley m and Ewe ern n Bucks Valley ridge

10.8% 10.7% 10.6% 10.6% 10.6% 10.3% 10.2%

South West	
Plymouth	17.9%
Bristol	17.2%
Swindon	17.1%
Gloucester	16.9%
Exeter	16.7%
Weymouth and Portland	15.3%
Bournemouth	15.2%
Cheltenham	15.1%
Taunton Deane	15.0%
Sedgemoor	15.0%
South Somerset	14.6%
Torbay	14.6%
Cornwall	14.3%
Wiltshire	14.2%
Forest of Dean	14.2%
Mendip	14.1%
North Devon	14.1%
Mid Devon	14.0%
South Gloucestershire	13.8%
Tewkesbury	13.6%
Bath and North East Somerse	t 13.5%
Stroud	13.5%
Torridge	13.4%
Poole	13.4%
West Somerset	13.1%
North Dorset	13.1%
North Somerset	13.0%
Teignbridge	13.0%
Isles of Scilly	12.8%
West Devon	12.7%
Cotswold	12.5%
Purbeck	12.4%
West Dorset	12.3%
South Hams	11.8%
East Devon	11.6%
Christchurch	11.5%
East Dorset	10.1%

Wales

Blaenau Gwent	24.3%
Merthyr Tydfil	24.1%
Rhondda Cynon Taf	21.9%
Caerphilly	21.5%
Neath Port Talbot	21.1%
Torfaen	20.9%
Newport	20.7%
Bridgend	20.1%
Wrexham	20.0%
Swansea	20.0%
Cardiff	19.5%
Carmarthenshire	19.0%
Gwynedd	18.7%
Flintshire	18.4%
Isle of Anglesey	18.2%
Denbighshire	18.1%
Pembrokeshire	18.1%
Ceredigion	17.6%
Conwy	17.4%
The Vale of Glamorgan	17.3%
-	

Powys	17.1%
Monmouthshire	15.3%
Scotland	
Glasgow City	16.1%
West Dunbartonshire	15.5%
North Lanarkshire	15.4%
Dundee City	14.9%
East Ayrshire	14.8%
North Ayrshire	14.5%
Inverclyde	14.3%
Clackmannanshire	14.1%
Falkirk	14.0%
Renfrewshire	13.9%
West Lothian	13.8%
South Lanarkshire	13.4%
Fife	13.4%
Shetland Islands	13.1%
Midlothian	12.7%
Dumfries & Galloway	12.6%
Aberdeen City	12.5%
Angus	12.4%
Moray	12.4%
Scottish Borders	12.1%
South Ayrshire	12.0%
Highland	11.9%
Stirling	11.9%
East Lothian	11.7%
Argyll & Bute	11.6%
Edinburgh	11.5%
Orkney Islands	11.4%
Perth & Kinross	11.2%
Eilean Siar	11.2%
Aberdeenshire	10.7%
East Dunbartonshire	10.1%
East Renfrewshire	10.0%
Northern Ireland	
Derry and Strabane	23.8%

	20.076
Belfast	22.9%
Mid Ulster	21.7%
Armagh, Banbridge and Craigavon	21.3%
Newry, Mourne and Down	20.9%
Fermanagh and Omagh	20.6%
Causeway Coast and Glens	20.5%
Antrim and Newtownabbey	20.0%
Mid and East Antrim	19.7%
Lisburn and Castlereagh	18.6%
North Down and Ards	18.0%





the **Money** Advice Service



A Picture of Over-Indebtedness Jamie Morawiec, CACI Sarah Little and Colin Kinloch, Money Advice Service March 2016

Money Advice Service Holborn Centre 120 Holborn London EC1N 2TD



the **Money** Advice Service www.moneyadviceservice.org.uk www.caci.co.uk

